

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/808,656		ROTHMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	HO SHIU		2457	

All Participants:

(1) HO SHIU.

(2) Andrew Cameron Reg. # 50281.

Date of Interview: 12/11/2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-4, 6-12, 14, 16, 17 and 19-35

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ho Ting Shiu/
Examiner, Art Unit 2457

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:00 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was place to applicant's attorney regarding allowable subject matter. The examiner informed the attorney that an examiner's amendment was needed in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The attorney of record, Andrew Cameron reviewed the proposed examiner's amendment and authorized the necessary changes needed to put the case in condition for allowance..